arXiv:1602.07790v2 [math.RT] 27 Feb 2016

GENERALIZED POLYNOMIAL MODULES OVER THE VIRASORO ALGEBRA

GENQIANG LIU AND YUEQIANG ZHAO

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{B}_r$ be the $(r+1)$-dimensional quotient Lie algebra of the positive part of the Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}$. Irreducible $\mathcal{B}_r$-modules were used to construct irreducible Whittaker modules in [21] and irreducible weight modules with infinite dimensional weight spaces over $\mathcal{V}$ in [14]. In the present paper, we construct non-weight Virasoro modules $F(M, \Omega(\lambda, \beta))$ from irreducible $\mathcal{B}_r$-modules M and $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-modules $\Omega(\lambda, \beta)$. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the Virasoro module $F(M, \Omega(\lambda, \beta))$ to be irreducible. Using the weighting functor introduced by J. Nilsson, we also give the isomorphism criterion for two $F(M, \Omega(\lambda, \beta))$.

1. Introduction

The Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}$ is the algebra named after the physicist Miguel Angel Virasoro. It is a complex Lie algebra, given as the universal central extension of the derivation Lie algebra of the Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$, see [13] and references therein. More precisely, $\mathcal{V}$ is the complex Lie algebra spanned by $\{\mathtt{c}, \mathtt{d}_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with the following relations:

$$[\mathtt{d}_i, \mathtt{d}_j] = (j-i)\mathtt{d}_{i+j} + \delta_{i,-j}\frac{i^3 - i}{12}\mathtt{c}; \quad [\mathtt{d}_i, \mathtt{c}] = 0, \forall\, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}$ is an important algebra, which is widely used in conformal field theory and string theory. The representation theory on the Virasoro algebra has attracted a lot of attentions from mathematicians and physicists, see [3, 8, 9, 13, 12]. The classical part of the weight representation theory of $\mathcal{V}$ is well developed, we can refer to the recent monograph [11] for a detailed survey. In [18], Mathieu showed that any simple Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{V}$ is a highest/lowest weight module or a intermediate series module. In [20], it is further shown that any simple weight $\mathcal{V}$-module with a nonzero finite dimensional weight space is a Harish-Chandra module.

The study of simple $\mathcal{V}$-modules which are not Harish-Chandra modules is also very popular. One of the earliest weight modules with infinite dimensional weight spaces is the tensor products of some highest weight module and some intermediate series module, see [29]. The irreducibility of these tensor products was solved completely by Chen-Guo-Zhao, see [4]. In 2001, Conley and Martin [5] defined another class of such modules. The irreducibility of these modules was determined in [16]. In [14], a large class of irreducible Virasoro module with infinite dimensional weight spaces were constructed from $\mathcal{B}_r$-modules, where $\mathcal{B}_r$ (see section 2) is an $(r+1)$-dimensional quotient Lie algebra of the positive part of the Virasoro algebra.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B10, 17B65, 17B66, 17B68.

Non-weight Virasoro modules were also studied by many researchers. These modules include Whittaker modules, see [24, 15, 17, 7, 28, 19, 25, 21], $\mathbb{C}[\mathtt{d}_0]$-free modules, see [22, 26, 27], highest-weight-like modules, see [10], irreducible modules from Weyl modules, see [17]. Whittaker modules and more general modules were described in a uniform way in [21], using irreducible $\mathcal{B}_r$-modules. In [27], it was showed that if M is a $\mathcal{V}$-module which is a free $\mathbb{C}[\mathtt{d}_0]$-module of rank 1, then $M \cong \Omega(\lambda, \beta)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. One can refer to Example 2 for the definition of $\Omega(\lambda, \beta)$.

It is easy to see that an irreducible $\mathcal{V}$-module is not a weight module if and only if it is $\mathbb{C}[\mathtt{d}_0]$-free. So it is valuable to construct non-weight $\mathcal{V}$-modules from $\Omega(\lambda, \beta)$. This is the motivation of the present paper.

Now we briefly describe the main content of the present paper. In Sect.2, we first recall the definition of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-modules from the reference [6]. The module $\Omega(\lambda, \beta)$ is a typical example of an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module. For any irreducible module M over $\mathcal{B}_r$ and an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module W, we define a Virasoro module structure on the vector space $F(M, W) = M \otimes W$, see (2.6) and (2.8). These modules are generalization of Virasoro modules $\mathcal{N}(M, \alpha)$ defined in [14]. In Sect.3, we prove that the Virasoro module $F(M, \Omega(\lambda, \beta))$ is reducible if and only if $M \cong M_\beta$, a 1-dimensional $\mathcal{B}_r$-module determined by the parameter $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, see Theorem 3.2. Thus we obtain a huge class of irreducible non-weight Virasoro modules. In [23], Nilsson introduced a weighting functor which maps non-weight modules to weight modules. It is written in [23] that the idea of this functor is due to O. Mathieu. Using the weighting functor, we also determine necessary and sufficient conditions for two such irreducible Virasoro modules $F(M, \Omega(\lambda, \beta))$ to be isomorphic, see Theorem 3.6.

2. Constructing new Virasoro modules

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the sets of all integers, nonnegative integers, positive integers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively.

Firstly we recall the definition of an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module which is a very nature notion, see Sect.3 in [6].

Definition 2.1. An $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module M is a module both for the Lie algebra $\mathcal{V}$ and the commutative associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with compatible actions:

$$mx^{n+m}v = \mathtt{d}_n x^m v - x^m \mathtt{d}_n v, \ \ \mathtt{c}v = 0,$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in M$.

The following two examples are two interesting classes of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-modules.

Example 1. For each $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a natural $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module structure on $\mathcal{A}$ as follows:

$$\mathtt{d}_m x^n = (n + \alpha + \beta m)x^{n+m}, \ x^m x^n = x^{n+m}, \ \mathbf{c}x^n = 0.$$

We denote this module by $A(\alpha, \beta)$ which is called the intermediate series module. It is well known that, as a $\mathcal{V}$-module $A(\alpha, \beta)$ is reducible if and

only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\beta = 0$ or 1. Mathieu showed that any irreducible uniformly bounded weight module over $\mathcal{V}$ is isomorphic to some irreducible sub-quotient of $A(\alpha, \beta)$, see [18].

Example 2. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, denote by $\Omega(\lambda, \beta) = \mathbb{C}[t]$ the polynomial associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ in indeterminate t. In [17], a class of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-modules is defined on $\Omega(\lambda, \beta)$ by

$$\mathtt{c}f(t) = 0, \mathtt{d}_m f(t) = \lambda^m (t - \beta m) f(t - m),$$
$$x^m f(t) = \lambda^m f(t - m),$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. From [17] we know that $\Omega(\lambda, \beta)$ is irreducible when it was restricted to $\mathcal{V}$ if and only if $\beta \neq 0$. When $\beta = 0$, one can check that $\mathbb{C}[t]t$ is a proper Virasoro submodule of $\Omega(\lambda, 0)$. It was showed that if M is a $\mathcal{V}$-module which is a free $\mathbb{C}[\mathtt{d}_0]$-module of rank 1, then $M \cong \Omega(\lambda, \beta)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, see [27].

For an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module M, we define the following operator on M

$$\mathtt{g}(m) = x^{-m}\mathtt{d}_m,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We can check that

$$\mathtt{g}(m)\mathtt{g}(k)v - \mathtt{g}(k)\mathtt{g}(m)v = -k\mathtt{g}(k)v + m\mathtt{g}(m)v + (k - m)\mathtt{g}(m + k)v, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathtt{g}(m)x^n v - x^n \mathtt{g}(m)v = nx^n v, \tag{2.2}$$

for any $m, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $v \in M$.

By abuse of language, we denote $\mathcal{G}$ by the Lie algebra with the basis $\{\mathtt{g}(m) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the Lie bracket defined as follows:

$$[\mathtt{g}(m), \mathtt{g}(k)] = -k\mathtt{g}(k) + m\mathtt{g}(m) + (k - m)\mathtt{g}(m + k),\ \forall\, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let us define the notion of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G})$-modules which appears naturally in the above construction.

Definition 2.2. An $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G})$-module M is a module both for the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and the commutative associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with compatible actions:

$$\mathtt{g}(m)x^n v - x^n \mathtt{g}(m)v = nx^n v,$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in M$.

From (2.1) and (2.2), any $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module can be viewed as an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G})$-module. Conversely, an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G})$-module M can be also viewed an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module via

$$\mathtt{d}_m v = x^m \mathtt{g}(m)v,\ \mathtt{c}v = 0,\ \forall\, v \in M.$$

Let M be a $\mathcal{G}$-module and W be an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module. Since W is also a $\mathcal{G}$-module, considering the tensor product $M \otimes W$ of $\mathcal{G}$-modules M and W, there is a natural $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G})$-module structure on $M \otimes W$ as follows

$$\mathtt{g}(m)(v \otimes w) = v \otimes (\mathtt{g}(m)w) + (\mathtt{g}(m)v) \otimes w, \tag{2.4}$$

$$x^m(v \otimes w) = v \otimes (x^m w), \tag{2.5}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in M, w \in W$.

From $\mathtt{d}_m = x^m \mathtt{g}(m)$, we know that the action of $\mathcal{V}$ on $M \otimes W$ is

$$\mathtt{d}_m(v \otimes w) = v \otimes (d_m w) + (\mathtt{g}(m)v) \otimes x^m w, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathtt{c}(v \otimes w) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in M, w \in W$.

Consequently, the formulas (2.5),(2.6) and (2.7) define an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module structure on $M \otimes W$. We denote this $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module by $F(M, W)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{V}_+$ the Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}$ spanned by all $\mathtt{d}_i$ with $i \geq 0$. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote by $\mathcal{V}_+^{(r)}$ the Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}$ generated by all $\mathtt{d}_i$, $i > r$, and by $\mathcal{B}_r$ the quotient algebra $\mathcal{V}_+/\mathcal{V}_+^{(r)}$. By $\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i$ we denote the image of $\mathtt{d}_i$ in $\mathcal{B}_r$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_r$ is a solvable Lie algebra of dimension $r+1$.

Let M be a $\mathcal{G}$-module. Motivated by the notion of polynomial modules in [2], we suppose that the action of $\mathtt{g}(m)$ on M is defined by

$$\mathtt{g}(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{m^{i+1} \mathtt{a}_i}{(i+1)!},$$

where $\mathtt{a}_i \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ for $i : 0 \leq i \leq r$. From the Lie bracket (2.3) of $\mathcal{G}$, we can check that

$$[\mathtt{a}_i, \mathtt{a}_j] = (j-i)\mathtt{a}_{i+j}, \, \forall \, i, j : 0 \leq i, j \leq r,$$

where $\mathtt{a}_{i+j} = 0$, when $i + j > r$. Thus M can be viewed as a module over the Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}_r$ via

$$\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v = \mathtt{a}_i v, \ \forall \, v \in M.$$

Conversely, for a module M over $\mathcal{B}_r$, we define the action of $\mathcal{G}$ on M by

$$\mathtt{g}(m)v = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{m^{i+1} \bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v}{(i+1)!}, \, \forall \, v \in M. \tag{2.8}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be a module over $\mathcal{B}_r$. Then M becomes a $\mathcal{G}$-module under the action (2.8).*

Proof. We can compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{g}(m)\mathtt{g}(k)v - \mathtt{g}(k)\mathtt{g}(m)v - m\mathtt{g}(m)v + k\mathtt{g}(k)v\\
&= \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i\Big)\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{r}\frac{k^{j+1}}{(j+1)!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_j\Big)v\\
&\quad - \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{k^{j+1}}{(j+1)!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_j\Big)\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i\Big)v\\
&\quad + \Big(k\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{k^{i+1}}{(i+1)!} - m\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\Big)\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v\\
&= \sum_{i,j=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}k^{j+1}}{(i+1)!(j+1)!}(j-i)\bar{\mathtt{d}}_{i+j}v + \Big(k\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{k^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\\
&\quad - m\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\Big)\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v\\
&= \left(k\sum_{i,j=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}k^{j}}{(i+1)!j!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_{i+j} - m\sum_{i,j=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i}k^{j+1}}{i!(j+1)!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_{i+j}\right)v\\
&\quad + \Big(k\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{k^{i+1}}{(i+1)!} - m\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\Big)\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v\\
&= k\sum_{i=0}^{r}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\frac{m^{i+1-j}k^{j}}{(i+1-j)!j!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v - m\sum_{i=0}^{r}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\frac{k^{i+1-j}m^{j}}{(i+1-j)!j!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v\\
&\quad + \Big(k\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{k^{i+1}}{(i+1)!} - m\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\Big)\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v\\
&= k\sum_{i=0}^{r}\sum_{j=0}^{i+1}\frac{m^{i+1-j}k^{j}}{(i+1-j)!j!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i - m\sum_{i=0}^{r}\sum_{j=0}^{i+1}\frac{k^{i+1-j}m^{j}}{(i+1-j)!j!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v\\
&= (k-m)\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{(m+k)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v = (k-m)\mathtt{g}(m+k)v.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

For a module M over $\mathcal{B}_r$, we can see that the Virasoro module $F(M,W)$ is a weight module if and only if W is a weight Virasoro module. From (2.6) and (2.8), we can see that if $W = \oplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}}W_\lambda$ is a weight module over $\mathcal{V}$, then $F(M,W) = \oplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}}N_\lambda$ is a weight Virasoro module with weight spaces $N_\lambda = M\otimes W_\lambda$ where

$$N_\lambda = \{v \in F(M,W) \mid d_0 v = \lambda v\}.$$

Proposition 2.4. If M_1, M_2 are modules over $\mathcal{B}_r$, then as $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{V})$-modules,

$$F(M_1, F(M_2,W)) \cong F(M_1\otimes M_2, W).$$

Proof. For any $v_1 \in M_1, v_2 \in M_2, w \in W, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{d}_m\Big(v_1 \otimes (v_2 \otimes w)\Big)\\
&= v_1 \otimes \mathtt{d}_m(v_2 \otimes w) + (\mathtt{g}(m)v_1) \otimes (v_2 \otimes x^m w)\\
&= v_1 \otimes (v_2 \otimes \mathtt{d}_m w + \mathtt{g}(m)v_2 \otimes x^m w) + (\mathtt{g}(m)v_1) \otimes (v_2 \otimes x^m w)\\
&= (v_1 \otimes v_2) \otimes \mathtt{d}_m w + \Big(\mathtt{g}(m)(v_1 \otimes v_2)\Big) \otimes x^m w\\
&= \mathtt{d}_m\Big((v_1 \otimes v_2) \otimes w\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$x^m\Big(v_1 \otimes (v_2 \otimes w)\Big) = \ v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes x^m w = x^m\Big((v_1 \otimes v_2) \otimes w\Big).$$

The proposition is proved. □

Remark 2.5. From Proposition 2.4, it is very hard to determine the irreducibility of $F(M, W)$ for any irreducible $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-module W, since it is a difficult problem to discuss the irreducibility of the tensor product of two $\mathcal{B}_r$-modules. Moreover, up to now there is no explicit classification of all irreducible $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-modules. However, it is still valuable to research the structure of $F(M, W)$ for some interesting $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$-modules.

Let M be a module over $\mathcal{B}_r$. From Example 1, (2.6) and (2.8), the action of $\mathcal{V}$ on $F(M, A(\alpha, \beta))$ is defined by

$$\mathtt{d}_m(v \otimes x^n) = \Big((n + \alpha + \beta m)v + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{m^{i+1}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v}{(i+1)!}\Big) \otimes x^{n+m}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in M$. Clearly $F(M, A(\alpha, \beta))$ is a weight module over $\mathcal{V}$, which is isomorphic to a module $\mathcal{N}(M, \alpha)$ defined in [14] where M is modified by the action of $\mathtt{d}_0$. Thus our Virasoro modules $F(M, W)$ are generalization of the modules $\mathcal{N}(M, \alpha)$ define in [14].

If M is a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{B}_r$-module, then by Lie's Theorem, $M = \mathbb{C}v$, $\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i M = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{\mathtt{d}}_0 v = \gamma v$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote this $\mathcal{B}_r$-module by M_γ. Clearly

$$F(M_\gamma, A(\alpha, \beta)) \cong A(\alpha, \beta + \gamma).$$

The following Theorem was given by Liu-Lu-Zhao, see Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 in [14].

Theorem 2.6. *The following statements hold.*

(a). *Let M be an irreducible $\mathcal{B}_r$-module. Then the Virasoro module $F(M, A(\alpha, \beta))$ is reducible if and only if $M \cong M_\gamma$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta + \gamma = 0$ or 1 .*

(b). *Let M, M' be infinite dimensional irreducible module over $\mathcal{B}_r$, and $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $F(M, A(\alpha, \beta)) \cong F(M', A(\alpha', \beta'))$ if and only if $M \cong M'$, $\alpha - \alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta = \beta'$.*

3. Irreducibility and the isomorphism classes of $F(M, \Omega(\lambda, \beta))$

In this section, we will determine the irreducibility and the isomorphism classes of the Virasoro modules $F(M, \Omega(\lambda, \beta))$ for any irreducible $\mathcal{B}_r$-module M.

3.1. **Irreducibility of $F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))$.** From (2.6) and (2.8), the $\mathcal{V}$-module structure on $F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))$ is given by

$$\mathtt{d}_m(v\otimes f(t)) = v\otimes\lambda^m(t-m\beta)f(t-m)+\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i v}{(i+1)!}\otimes\lambda^m f(t-m). \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be an irreducible module over $\mathcal{B}_r$. Then either $\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r M = 0$ or the action of $\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r$ on M is bijective.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r M$ and $\mathrm{ann}_M(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r) = \{v\in M|\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r v = 0\}$ are submodules of V. Then the lemma follows from the simplicity of M. □

For any $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+, m\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $h_m^0 = 1$ and

$$h_m^n = \prod_{j=m+1}^{m+n}(t-j), \forall\ n>0.$$

It is clear that $\{h_m^n \mid n\in\mathbb{Z}_+\}$ forms a basis of $\Omega(\lambda,\beta)$ for any $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover

$$h_m^n - h_{m+1}^n = nh_{m+1}^{n-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

From the definition of $\Omega(\lambda,\beta)$, we have that

$$\mathtt{d}_m h_k^n = \lambda^m(t-m\beta)h_{k+m}^n,\quad x^m h_k^n = \lambda^m h_{k+m}^n, \tag{3.3}$$

for any $m,k\in\mathbb{Z}, n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be an irreducible module over $\mathcal{B}_r$, $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Then the Virasoro module $F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))$ is reducible if and only if $M\cong M_\beta$.*

Proof. If M is finite dimensional, then $M\cong M_\gamma$ for some $\gamma\in\mathbb{C}$. In this case, we have that

$$\mathtt{d}_m(v\otimes f(t)) = v\otimes(t-m(\beta-\gamma))f(t-m),$$

for any $f(t)\in\Omega(\lambda,\beta)$. Hence

$$F(M_\gamma,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))\cong\Omega(\lambda,\beta-\gamma).$$

Thus $F(M_\gamma,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))$ is reducible if and only if $\beta-\gamma=0$, i.e., $\beta=\gamma$.

Next we assume that M is infinite dimensional. By Lemma 3.1, there exists an $r_1\in\mathbb{N}$ with $r_1\le r$ such that the action of $\bar{\mathtt{d}}_{r_1}$ on M is injective and $\bar{\mathtt{d}}_i M = 0$ for $i>r_1$. We may simply assume that $r_1 = r$.

Let N be a nonzero submodule of $F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))$. Since

$$F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))\cong F(M,\Omega(1,\beta)),$$

see Theorem 3.6 below, we may further assume that $\lambda = 1$.

Claim 1. If $u=\sum_{n=0}^{l} v_n\otimes h_0^n$ is a nonzero element in N with $v_l\neq 0$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{l}(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2 v_n)\otimes h_m^n\in N$ for any $m\in\mathbb{Z}$.

By (3.1) and (3.3),

$$\mathtt{d}_m(v_n\otimes h_0^n) = v_n\otimes(t-m\beta)h_m^n + (\mathfrak{g}(m)v_n)\otimes h_m^n.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{d}_k\mathtt{d}_{m-k}(v_n\otimes h_0^n)\\
&=\mathtt{d}_k\left(v_n\otimes(t-(m-k)\beta)h_{m-k}^n+\mathtt{g}(m-k)v_n\otimes h_{m-k}^n\right)\\
&=v_n\otimes(t-k\beta)(t-(m-k)\beta-k)h_m^n+\mathtt{g}(m-k)v_n\otimes(t-k\beta)h_m^n\\
&\quad+\mathtt{g}(k)v_n\otimes(t-(m-k)\beta-k)h_m^n+\mathtt{g}(k)\mathtt{g}(m-k)v_n\otimes h_m^n
\end{aligned}$$

Since k is an arbitrary integer, considering the coefficient of k^{2r+2} in $\mathtt{d}_k\mathtt{d}_{m-k}u$, we obtain that $\sum_{n=0}^{l}(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2v_n)\otimes h_m^n\in N$ for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2. If $u=\sum_{n=0}^{l}v_n\otimes h_0^n$ is a nonzero element in N, then $(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2v_l)\otimes 1\in N$. Consequently $(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2v_l)\otimes\Omega(\lambda,\beta)\subset N$.

Note that $h_m^k\in\mathbb{C}[t]$ can be expressed as a polynomial in m with degree k, and the highest term is a constant (i.e., a degree 0 polynomial in t). From Claim 1,

$$u(m):=\sum_{n=0}^{l}(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2v_n)\otimes h_m^n\in N,$$

for any $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Considering the coefficient of m^l in $u(m)$, we know that $(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2v_l)\otimes 1\in N$. From $\mathtt{d}_0((\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2v_l)\otimes 1)=(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2v_l)\otimes t$, we have that $(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2v_l)\otimes\Omega(\lambda,\beta)\subset N$. Then Claim 2 follows.

Since the action of $\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r$ on M is injective, $(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_r^2v_l)\neq 0$. From Claim 2, there exists nonzero element $v\in M$ such that $v\otimes\Omega(\lambda,\beta)\subset N$.

Claim 3. If $v\otimes\Omega(\lambda)\subset N$, then $(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_iv)\otimes\Omega(\lambda)\subset N$ for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}_+$.

From $\mathtt{d}_m(v\otimes h_{k-m}^n)=v\otimes(t-m\beta)h_k^n+\left(\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_iv}{(i+1)!}\right)\otimes h_k^n$, we see that $\left(\sum_{i=0}^{r}\frac{m^{i+1}\bar{\mathtt{d}}_iv}{(i+1)!}\right)\otimes h_k^n\in N$, for any $k,m\in\mathbb{Z},n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence $(\bar{\mathtt{d}}_iv)\otimes h_k^n\in N$ for any $i=0,1,\ldots,r$.

Since M is an irreducible $\mathcal{B}_r$-module, from Claim 3, we obtain that $M\otimes\Omega(\lambda)=N$. Therefore $F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))$ is irreducible when M is infinite dimensional. □

3.2. **Isomorphism of $F(V,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))$.** We will first recall the weighting functor introduced in [23].

For $a\in\mathbb{C}$, let I_a be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{C}[\mathtt{d}_0]$ generated by $\mathtt{d}_0-a$. For a $\mathcal{V}$-module M and $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, let

$$M_n:=M/I_nM,\quad \mathfrak{W}(M):=\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(M_n\otimes x^n).$$

By Proposition 8 in [23], we have the following construction.

Proposition 3.3. The vector space $\mathfrak{W}(M)$ becomes a weight $\mathcal{V}$-module under the following action:

$$\mathtt{d}_m\cdot((v+I_nM)\otimes x^n):=(\mathtt{d}_mv+I_{n+m}M)\otimes x^{n+m}. \tag{3.4}$$

We first establish the following useful lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *We have* $\mathfrak{W}(\Omega(\lambda,\beta)) \cong A(0,1-\beta)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\dim(\Omega(\lambda,\beta)/I_n(\Omega(\lambda,\beta))) = 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $v_n = 1 + I_n(\Omega(\lambda,\beta)) \in \Omega(\lambda,\beta)/I_n(\Omega(\lambda,\beta))$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathtt{d}_m v_n =& \lambda^m(t - m\beta) + I_{m+n}(\Omega(\lambda,\beta))\\ =& \lambda^m(m+n-m\beta) + I_{m+n}(\Omega(\lambda,\beta))\\ =& \lambda^m(n+m(1-\beta))v_{m+n}.\end{aligned}$$

Set $w_n = \lambda^n v_n$. Then $\mathtt{d}_m w_n = (n+m(1-\beta))w_{m+n}$. Thus the lemma follows. □

We know that $\Omega(\lambda,1)$ is irreducible, and $A(0,0)$ is reducible as $\mathcal{V}$-modules. Thus the weighting functor $\mathfrak{W}$ does not map irreducible modules to irreducible modules.

Proposition 3.5. As Virasoro modules, we have the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{W}(F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta)) \cong F(M, A(0,1-\beta)).$$

Proof. Note that $F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta)) = M \otimes \Omega(\lambda,\beta)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, using (3.1), we have

$$I_n(F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta))) = I_n(M \otimes \Omega(\lambda,\beta)) = M \otimes I_n(\Omega(\lambda,\beta)).$$

We can easily deduce that

$$\mathfrak{W}(F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta)) \cong F(M, A(0,1-\beta)).$$

□

Combining Proposition 3.5 with Theorem 2.6, we obtain the following isomorphism criterion.

Theorem 3.6. *Let* M, M' *be two infinite dimensional irreducible* $\mathcal{B}_r$*-modules,* $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}, \beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then* $F(M,\Omega(\lambda,\beta) \cong F(M',\Omega(\lambda',\beta')$ *if and only if* $M \cong M'$, $\beta = \beta'$.

Remark 3.7. From Theorem 3.2, we can construct irreducible non-weight Virasoro modules from irreducible $\mathcal{B}_r$-modules. All irreducible modules over $\mathcal{B}_1$ were classified in [1], while all irreducible modules over $\mathcal{B}_2$ were classified in [21]. The classification of irreducible modules over $\mathcal{B}_r$ remains open, for any $r > 2$.

References

1. R. Block, *The irreducible representations of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ *and of the Weyl algebra*, Adv. in Math., 139 (1981), no. 1, 69–110.
2. Y. Billig and K. Zhao, *Weight modules over exp-polynomial Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 191 (2004), 23–42.
3. N. Chair, V. K. Dobrev, H. Kanno, $SO(2,\mathbb{C})$ *invariant ring structure of BRST cohomology and singular vectors in* $2D$ *gravity with* $c < 1$ *matter*, Phys. Lett. B, 283 (1992) 194–202.
4. H. Chen, X. Guo and K. Zhao, *Tensor product weight modules over the Virasoro algebra*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) 88 (2013) 829-844.
5. C. Conley, C. Martin, *A family of irreducible representations of the Witt Lie algebra with infinite-dimensional weight spaces*, Compos. Math., 128(2), (2001) 153–175.
6. A. Dzhumadil'daev, *Virasoro type Lie algebras and deformations*, Z. Phys. C, 72 (1996), 509–517.

7. E. Felinska, Z. Jaskolski, M. Kosztolowicz, *Whittaker pairs for the Virasoro algebra and the Gaiotto-Bonelli-Maruyoshi-Tanzini states*, J. Math. Phys., 53(3) (2012), 177–193.
8. P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Senechal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, New York, 1997.
9. P. Goddard, D. Olive, *Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras in relation to quantum physics*, Intemat. J. Mod. Phys. A, (1986), 303–414.
10. X. Guo, R. Lu, K. Zhao, *Fraction representations and highest-weight-like representations of the Virasoro algebra*, J. Algebra, 387(2013), 68–86.
11. K. Iohara, Y. Koga, *Representation theory of the Virasoro algebra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.
12. V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd edition*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
13. V. Kac, A. Raina, *Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, 2. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1987.
14. G. Liu, R. Lü, K. Zhao, *A class of simple weight Virasoro modules*, J. Algebra, 424(2015), 506–521.
15. R. Lü, X. Guo, K. Zhao, *Irreducible modules over the Virasoro algebra*, Doc. Math., 16 (2011), 709–721.
16. R. Lü, K. Zhao, *A family of simple weight modules over the Virasoro algebra*, arXiv:1303.0702.
17. R. Lü, K. Zhao, *Irreducible Virasoro modules from irreducible Weyl modules*, J. Algebra, 414(2014), 271–287.
18. O. Mathieu, *Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra*, Invent. Math., 107 (1992), no. 2, 225–234.
19. V. Mazorchuk, E. Weisner, *Simple virasoro modules induced from codimension one subalgebras of the positive part*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 142 (2014), no. 11, 3695–3703.
20. V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, *Classification of simple weight Virasoro modules with a finite-dimensional weight space*, J. Algebra, 307 (2007), no. 1, 209–214.
21. V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, *Simple Virasoro modules which are locally finite over a positive part*, Selecta Math. (N.S.), 20 (2014), no. 3, 839–854.
22. J. Nilsson, *Simple $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-module structures on $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$*, J. Algebra, 424(2015), 294–329.
23. J. Nilsson, *$U(\mathfrak{h})$-free modules and coherent families*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 220 (2016), no. 4, 1475–1488.
24. M. Ondrus, E. Wiesner, *Whittaker modules for the Virasoro algebra*, J. Algebra Appl., 8 (2009), no. 3, 363–377.
25. M. Ondrus, E. Wiesner, *Whittaker categories for the Virasoro algebra*, Comm. Algebra, 41 (2013), no. 10, 3910–3930.
26. H. Tan, K. Zhao, *Irreducible Virasoro modules from tensor products (II)*, J. Algebra, 394(2013), 357–373.
27. H. Tan, K. Zhao, *W_n^+ and W_n-module structures on $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$*, J. Algebra, 424 (2015), 357–375.
28. S. Yanagida, *Whittaker vectors of the Virasoro algebra in terms of Jack symmetric polynomial*, J. Algebra, 333 (2011), 273–294.
29. H. Zhang, *A class of representations over the Virasoro algebra*, J. Algebra, 190 (1997), no. 1, 1–10.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China.

E-mail address: liugenqiang@amss.ac.cn

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China.

E-mail address: yueqiangzhao@163.com